



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,670	11/27/2001	Song Han	19111.0053	8023
68009	7590	12/31/2008	EXAMINER	
Hanify & King, P.C. 1875 K Street Suite 707 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			PATEL, DHAIRYA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2451	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/993,670	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Dhairya A. Patel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2451	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dhairya A. Patel. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Chadwick Johnson (Reg. # 46,495). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Reed et al. U.S. Patent # 6,263,209 & Nakagawa et al. U.S. Patent # 7,266,376.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim 1 in regards w/ Reed and Nakagawa reference particularly in regards w/ step C and D in which examiner pointed out step D does not need to occur if step C is met. Applicant will amend the claim(s) accordingly to overcome the reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451
--	---